

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/698,002	10/30/2003	Shinobu Sakurada	1300-000008 7421	
27572	7590 08/04/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			DIXON, MERRICK L	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	3 .D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1774	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/698,002	SAKURADA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merrick Dixon	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	  ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		-	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir		1. 4. 6. 1	takan sa ta takan da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphaner unonamone	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa  10. The affidavit as although identified the surplementary of the surplementary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	۸o(s)	

Merrick Dixon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1774

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The aspect of repeatedly puryfying the organic semiconductor material to remove impurities appears to introduce new issues not previously searched in the proposed combination.